

## Communication

# Organolanthanide-Based Synthesis of 1,2,3-Triazoles from Nitriles and Diazo Compounds

William J. Evans, Elizabeth Montalvo, Timothy M. Champagne, Joseph W. Ziller, Antonio G. DiPasquale, and Arnold L. Rheingold

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130 (1), 16-17• DOI: 10.1021/ja077298e • Publication Date (Web): 08 December 2007

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 19, 2009



### More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 2 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 12/08/2007

#### Organolanthanide-Based Synthesis of 1,2,3-Triazoles from Nitriles and Diazo Compounds

William J. Evans,\*,<sup>†</sup> Elizabeth Montalvo,<sup>†</sup> Timothy M. Champagne,<sup>†</sup> Joseph W. Ziller,<sup>†</sup> Antonio G. DiPasquale,<sup>‡</sup> and Arnold L. Rheingold<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-2025 and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, MC 0358, La Jolla, California 92093-0358 Received September 20, 2007; E-mail: wevans@uci.edu

In contrast to the well documented reactivity studies of transition metals with diazoalkanes, RR'CN<sub>2</sub>,<sup>1–5</sup> the chemical reactivity of these substrates with lanthanides has not been extensively explored. A single paper in the literature describes spectroscopic data supporting the synthesis of  $(C_5Me_5)_2M[C(SiMe_3)N_2]$ (THF) from Li[Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN<sub>2</sub>] and "(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MCl" (M = Y, Yb, Lu) prepared in situ, but definitive crystallographic characterization and satisfactory elemental analysis of these complexes were not obtained.<sup>6</sup>

We report here our efforts to expand diazoalkane f element chemistry taking advantage of the special reactivity of the cationic metallocene complexes of the tetraphenylborate anion,  $[(C_5Me_5)_2-Ln][(\mu-Ph)_2BPh_2]$ .<sup>7–11</sup> These complexes were chosen as precursors since the loosely ligated (BPh<sub>4</sub>)<sup>1–</sup> anion is easily displaced by alkali metal reagents, MX, to make MBPh<sub>4</sub> and unsolvated (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MX moieties (X = alkyl, azide).<sup>7–11</sup> With diazoalkanes, this has led to a new class of lanthanide metallocenes that react with nitriles to make 1,2,3-triazoles, ring systems that have pharmaceutical, industrial, and agrochemical applications.<sup>12</sup>



*Figure 1.* Thermal ellipsoid plots of (a)  $\{(C_5Me_5)_2Sm[\mu-N(SiMe_3)NC]\}_2$ , **1**, and (b)  $(C_5Me_5)_2La(NCCMe_3)[NNC(SiMe_3)C(CMe_3)N]$ , **4**, drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been excluded for clarity.

The complexes  $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ln][(\mu-Ph)_2BPh_2]$  (Ln = Sm, La) react with the salt obtained from 'BuLi and Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub> in hexane to form products formulated as isomorphous bimetallic isocyanotrimethylsilyl amide complexes,  $\{(C_5Me_5)_2Ln[\mu-N(SiMe_3)NC]\}_2$  (Ln = Sm, 1; La, 2), eq 1, on the basis of structural (Figure 1a) and reactivity data. This requires a 1,3-silyl migration from carbon to



nitrogen *if* the lithium salt has a carbon-bound silyl group. Theoretical and experimental studies of these lithium salts show that several isomers are possible, Figure  $2^{.13-15}$  An N-bound silyl product, [(MeC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)TiCl( $\mu$ -NSiMe<sub>3</sub>)]<sub>2</sub>, has been previously observed in the reaction of Li[Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN<sub>2</sub>] with (MeC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)TiCl<sub>3</sub>.<sup>16</sup>



Figure 2. Possible isomers of the lithium salt of (trimethylsilyl)-diazomethane, Li[Me<sub>3</sub>SiCN<sub>2</sub>].

Since the 1,3-silyl migration could have occurred in the <sup>*i*</sup>BuLi/ Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub> reaction, direct routes to **1** from Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub> were examined. Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub> is reduced by divalent (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sm<sup>17</sup> in benzene to form **1** and trivalent [(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sm( $\mu$ -H)]<sub>2</sub><sup>7,18</sup> also reacts with Me<sub>3</sub>SiCHN<sub>2</sub> to form **1**, eq 2. H<sub>2</sub> is a byproduct in both reactions and the products of each reaction were fully characterized by X-ray crystallography. Again, silyl migration is required in eq 2.



Crystallographic studies on two different samples of both **1** and **2** indicated the N-bound structure shown rather than a C-bound structure,  $(C_5Me_5)_2Ln$ -C(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)N<sub>2</sub>, was present. This assignment was supported in all four structures by the Si–N bond length data. The 1.761(2)–1.7545(15) Å Si–N bond lengths in **1** and **2** are in the Si–N range<sup>19–22</sup> not in the 1.81–1.84 Å Si–C range.<sup>23–26</sup>

However, the bond lengths in 1 and 2 suggest that the resonance structure shown in eq 1 is not the only contributor to these complexes: a resonance form in which N(1) and N(3) have neutral donor character and C(41) and C(42) are anionic may also be involved, that is, formally Ln←N(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)=N=C. For example, the 1.329(2) Å N(1)-N(2) and 1.327(2) Å N(3)-N(4) bond lengths in **1** are shorter than expected for a single bond (1.41-1.45 Å),<sup>22</sup> and the 2.498(2) and 2.475(2) Å Sm(1)-N(1) and Sm(2)-N(3) bond lengths are long compared to the 2.301(3) Å Sm-N bond length in (C5Me5)2Sm[N(SiMe3)2].20 However, the 1.170(3) Å N(2)-C(41) and 1.168(3) Å N(4)-C(42) bond lengths are comparable to the corresponding average distances in bridged cyanide lanthanide metallocenes, 1.17(2) and 1.161(4) Å in [(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Sm- $(CNC_6H_{11})(\mu-CN)]_3^{27}$  and  $[(C_5Me_5)_2Sm(CNCMe_3)(\mu-CN)]_3^{28}$  respectively, and the 2.524(2) and 2.536(2) Å Sm(1)-C(42) and Sm(2)-C(41) bond lengths are within the broad 2.49(2)-2.64(2)Å range observed for Sm-(CN)/Sm-(NC) connections in the latter two compounds.29,30

The bond lengths observed in **1** and **2** could also arise if the crystals contained some amount of a Ln-C(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)=N=N→Ln isomer.<sup>31</sup> In support of this possibility, a fifth crystallographically analyzed sample of **1** showed 1.823(2) and 1.837(2) Å Me<sub>3</sub>Sielement bond lengths and refined slightly better with the trimethylsilyl group bound to carbon. Disordered models did not improve the refinements for the five samples. Interestingly, the IR spectra of both **1** and **2** had two absorptions in the multiple bond region. The most prominent absorptions for **1** and **2** are at 1974

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> University of California, Irvine. <sup>‡</sup> University of California, San Diego.

and 1970 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, but substantial bands at 2057 and 2063 cm<sup>-1</sup> are also observed. However, neither variable temperature NMR studies nor an 800 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed evidence of two  $(C_5Me_5)^{1-}$  resonances.

To obtain additional derivatives of 1 and 2 for structural characterization, recrystallization in the presence of coordinating solvents was attempted. Surprisingly, 1 and 2 also crystallize from THF, that is, the bridging arrangement shown in Figure 1 is preferred over formation of a monomeric THF adduct. However, examination of nitriles as coordinating solvents gave a very different result with these complexes.

Complexes 1 and 2 react with nitriles to make products containing triazole rings. Reactivity is observed with acetonitrile, but crystal quality precluded definitive identification of the products. However, Me<sub>3</sub>CCN reacts with 1 and 2 to form isomorphous 1,2,3-triazolato complexes (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Ln(NCCMe<sub>3</sub>)

 $[NNC(SiMe_3)C(CMe_3)N]$  (Ln = Sm, 3; La, 4), eq 3, that could be fully characterized by X-ray crystallography, Figure 1b. The data clearly show the heterocycle as a 1,2,3-triazole, a result that once again requires silvl migration if 1 and 2 have silvl groups bound to N and not C.



Details of the mechanism of the formation of the triazolato complexes 3 and 4 from  $\{(C_5Me_5)_2Ln[\mu-N(SiMe_3)NC]\}_2$  are unknown, but the overall reaction likely involves a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition.32 Alkali metal salts of diazoalkanes are known to form heterocycles through unusual mechanisms.<sup>13,33</sup> Bond lengths in the 1,2,3-triazolato ligands in 3 and 4 are consistent with delocalization of the double bonds shown in the resonance structure in eq 3.

The 1,2,3-triazolato ligands have their closest attachment to the Ln<sup>3+</sup> ions through N(3), 2.368(5) Å in **3** and 2.472(2) Å in **4**, a difference consistent with the larger radius of La3+.30 The Ln-N(2) bond lengths are longer, which is consistent with more donor bond character, and the Ln-N(1) bond lengths, 2.518(6) in 3 and 2.645(2) Å in 4, are the longest for this purely neutral donor nitrile. The 1.859(7) and 1.862(2) Å C(26)-Si(1) bond lengths in 3 and 4, respectively, match the range expected for C-Si bonds.<sup>22</sup>

To probe this system further, the reaction of Me<sub>3</sub>SiN<sub>3</sub> with 2 was examined. A trimeric azide product was obtained,  $\{(C_5Me_5)_2\}$  $La[CNN(SiMe_3)_2](\mu-N_3)$ , 5, eq 4, as an adduct of an isocyanide (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NNC generated in the course of the reaction. The isolation of (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NNC rather than (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>CN<sub>2</sub> supports the assignment of N-bound Me<sub>3</sub>Si in 2.



In summary, diazoalkanes are viable reagents not only for the transition metals, but also for the f elements. As expected, the

special nature of the f elements will lead to different reactivity patterns, which is one of the important reasons to study these metals.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the Department of Energy for support. This research was facilitated in part by a National Physical Science Consortium Fellowship and by stipend support from Los Alamos National Laboratory (to E.M.).

Supporting Information Available: Synthetic, spectroscopic, and X-ray diffraction details (PDF, CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### References

- (1) Dartiguenave, M.; Menu, M. J.; Deydier, E.; Dartiguenave, Y.; Siebald, H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 178-180, 623-663.
- (2) Herrmann, W. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 800-812.
- (3) Mizobe, Y.; Ishii, Y.; Hidai, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1995, 139, 281-311.
- (4) Hidai, M.; Mizobe, Y. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1115-1133. (5) Sutton, D. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 995-1022.
- (6) Siebald, H.; Dartiguenave, M.; Dartiguenave, Y. J. Organomet. Chem.
- 1992, 438, 83-87
- (7) Evans, W. J.; Seibel, C. A.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6745-6752
- (8) Evans, W. J.; Perotti, J. M.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 3894-3909.
- Evans, W. J.; Perotti, J. M.; Kozimor, S. A.; Champagne, T. M.; Davis, B. L.; Nyce, G. W.; Fujimoto, C. H.; Clark, R. D.; Johnston, M. A.; Ziller, J. W. Organometallics 2005, 24, 3916-3931.
- (10) Evans, W. J.; Lee, D. S.; Lie, C.; Ziller, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5517-5519.
- (11)Evans, W. J.; Davis, B. L.; Ziller, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6341-6348
- (12) Fan, W. Q.; Katritzky, A. R. In *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry II*; Katritzky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Scriven, E. F. V., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996, Vol. 4, p 1–126.
- (13) Boche, G.; Lohrenz, J. C. W.; Schubert, F. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 5889-5902
- (14) Feeder, N.; Hendy, M. A.; Raithby, P. R.; Snaith, R.; Wheatley, A. E. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 861-864.
- (15) Armstrong, D. R.; Davies, R. P.; Haigh, R.; Hendy, M. A.; Raithby, P. R.; Snaith, R.; Wheatley, A. E. H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 3363-3375.
- (16) Bai, G.; Roesky, H. W.; Hao, H.; Noltemeyer, M.; Schmidt, H.-G. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 2424–2426.
- (17) Evans, W. J.; Hughes, L. A.; Hanusa, T. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4270-4272
- (18) Evans, W. J.; Bloom, I.; Hunter, W. E.; Atwood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1401-1403.
- (19) The Si-N bond lengths are longer than the related Si-N bond lengths found in  $(C_5Me_5)_2Sm[N(SiMe_3)_2]^{20}$  [1.697(4) and 1.700(4) Å] and Sm[N-(SiMe\_3)\_2]\_{2^{21}} [1,714(2) Å], but all of these bond lengths are similar to the 1.711–1.748 Å averages given in tabulated data on Si-N bonds.
- (20) Evans, W. J.; Keyer, R. A.; Ziller, J. W. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2618-2633.
- (21) Brady, E. D.; Clark, D. L.; Gordon, J. C.; Hay, P. J.; Keogh, D. W.; Poli, R.; Scott, B. L.; Watkin, J. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 6682–6690.
  (22) Allen, F. H.; Kennard, O.; Watson, D. G.; Brammer, L.; Orpen, A. G.;
- Taylor, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. II **1987**, S1–S19. (23) For example, 1.813(8) 1.842(7) Å for the six independent bond lengths in  $(C_5Me_5)_2M[CH(SiMe_3)_2]$  (M = Ce,<sup>24</sup> Nd,<sup>25</sup> and Y<sup>26</sup>).
- (24) Heeres, H. J.; Renkema, J.; Booij, M.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. Organometallics 1988, 7, 2495–2502.
- (25) Jeske, G.; Lauke, H.; Mauermann, H.; Swepston, P. N.; Schumann, H.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8091-8103.
- (26) Den Haan, K. H.; De Boer, J. L.; Teuben, J. H.; Spek, A. L.; Kojic-Prodic, B.; Hays, G. R.; Huis, R. Organometallics **1986**, 5, 1726–1733.
   (27) Evans, W. J.; Drummond, D. K. Organometallics **1988**, 7, 797–802.
- (28) Evans, W. J.; Forrestal, K. J.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120,
- 9273-9282.
- (29)Bond lengths in isomorphous 2 are similar except that those involving the metals are longer because of the larger size of lanthanum.30
- (30) Shannon, R. D. Acta Cryst. 1976, A32, 751-767.
- (31) Parkin, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 455-460.
- (32) Huisgen, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1963, 2, 565-632; 633-696. (33) (a) Müller, E.; Rundel, W. Chem. Ber. 1956, 89, 1065-1071. (b) Aoyama, T.; Kabeya, M.; Fukushima, A.; Shioiri, T. Heterocycles 1985, 23, 2363- 2366. (c) Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1982, 30, 3450–3452. (d) Aoyama, T.; Sudo, K.; Shioiri, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1982, 34.9 (J) Aoyana, T., Sudo, K., Shion, T. Chem. Future. But. 192, 30, 3849–3851. (e) Müller, E.; Disselhoff, H. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1934, 512, 250–263. (f) Aoyama, T.; Kabeya, M.; Fukushima, A.; Shioiri, T. Heterocycles 1985, 23, 2367–2369. (g) Aoyama, T.; Kabeya, M.; Shioiri, T. Heterocycles 1985, 23, 2371–2374. JA077298E